

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/920,517		Applicant(s) CLARKE ET AL	
	Examiner Q. Janice Li		Art Unit 1632	

**All Participants:**

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(2) David Casimir, Appl Rep.

**Date of Interview:** 14 March 2005

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
14 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:  
None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The Examiner proposed amendment of claims 14 and 23 to correct issues under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd paragraph. Applicants' representative agreed to make such change in the form of an Examiner's amendment*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**Q. JANICE LI, M.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)